

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, JULY 28, 2003  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S117293**

B164694 Second Appellate District,  
Division Eight

JUREK & BOWMAN, MARRIAGE OF  
Petition for review and application for stay denied

Moreno, J., was recused and did not participate.

**S066527**

PEOPLE v. LINDBERG (GUNNER J.)  
Extension of time granted

to September 26, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

**S111494**

D037680 Fourth Appellate District,  
Division One

PEOPLE v. KONOW et al.  
Extension of time granted

to August 11, 2003 to file respondent Daniel O'Neil's reply brief on the merits.

**S111985**

G028325 Fourth Appellate District,  
Division Three

PEOPLE v. PEREZ  
Extension of time granted

to August 6, 2003 to file appellant's answer brief on the merits. No further extensions are contemplated.

**S116290**

C044020 Third Appellate District

GARVEY (MICHAEL) ON H.C.  
Extension of time granted

Respondent's time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to and including July 31, 2003.

**S116824****ESPINOZA (ANTONIO) ON H.C.**  
Extension of time granted

to August 20, 2003 to file informal response. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated. Extension granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Charles Fennessey's representation that he anticipates filing the document by 9-19-2003.

**Bar Misc. 4186****IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE  
COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE  
STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION  
OF ATTORNEYS**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:  
(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO  
ORIGINAL ORDER)

**S115701****KARR ON DISCIPLINE**  
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **MICHAEL P. KARR, State Bar No. 137718**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including nine months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on March 11,

2003. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

**S115702**

KELLEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **KEVIN PATRICK KELLEY, State Bar No. 140462**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. **Kevin Patrick Kelley** is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed March 20, 2003. Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2004 and 2005. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

**S115703**

KIM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **VICTORIA ELAINE KIM, State Bar No. 159053**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be actually suspended from the practice of law

for 60 days and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on March 10, 2003. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, she shall remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of respondent's actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If respondent is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S115704

MAZAREI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **RAYEHE MAZAREI, State Bar No. 155873**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be

placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 14, 2003. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

**S116622****ZWEIG ON RESIGNATION**

The voluntary resignation of **DAVID ZWEIG, State Bar No. 44043**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**S117703****LASKO ON RESIGNATION**

Resignation accepted with disc. proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation of **GEORGE A. LASKO, JR., State Bar No. 166264**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

**S117707****FRANK ON RESIGNATION**

Resignation accepted with disc. proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation of **RAUNDA MARIANNE FRANK, State Bar No. 158216**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to

further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should she hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

**S117708**

**FOX ON RESIGNATION**

Resignation accepted with disc. proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation of **JUAN CARLOS FOX, State Bar No. 137928**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

**S117709**

**VALINOTI ON RESIGNATION**

Resignation accepted with disc. proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation of **JAMES ROBERT VALINOTI, State Bar No. 164075**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)